

Public Comment Form

Cascade County Public Works Department Planning Division 121 4th St N, Suite 2H-2I Great Falls, MT 59401

Phone: 406-454-6905

Fax: 406-454-6919

Instructions

This form is for providing public comment to the Cascade County Planning Division for review by any one or more of the following review and/or approval boards: Zoning Board of Adjustment (ZBOA), Planning Board, or Board of County Commissioners. Only complete submissions will be included for board review. Please provide the relevant information for each section below. A complete submission provides all of the following: commenter name and address, comment subject, and commentary on the subject issue(s). If additional space is needed for commentary, please attach additional sheets to this form.

Commenter Information					
Name: Mike + Deborah	Venkins	0 . 0 .		FAIIDA	
Complete Address: 098 Hastir	igs Kood,	Sand Conle	2,794	59472	
Comment Subject (please check one)					
☐ Special Use Permit Application	☐ Subdivision	☒ Zoning Text a			
☐ Growth Policy	☐ Variance	☐ Floodplain Re			
☐ Subdivision Regulation Amendmen	t 🗌 County Ro	ad Abandonment/ D	iscontinuatio	n of County	y Street
☐ Other (describe):					
Comment See AH	ached				
	For Offic	e Use Only			
Date Received: 3-7-19	Date Reviewed:	Z-4 -19	Complete:	☑ Yes	□ No

Date: 3/2/2019

To: Cascade County Planning Board; <u>planningcomments@cascadecountymt.gov</u>
From: Michael and Deborah Jenkins; 298 Hastings Road, Sand Coulee, MT 59472

Re: Public Comment: Part I of II.

We wish to submit our following comments for consideration while reviewing the proposed Cascade County zoning changes:

- We both are life-long residents of Cascade County, own a small property east of Great Falls in which we reside and farm, work here and pay local taxes, and have a strong interest in seeing our community maintain and improve our healthy, safe, and prosperous environment.
- We would like to note our appreciation for having a planning board involved in the
 process and that you are ensuring that public input is solicitated, heard, and considered.
 We are glad to know that the process includes checks and balances like this for best
 results.
- 3. We thank you for listening to the public and scheduling the next meeting in the evening and in a larger venue.
- 4. We applaud the Cascade County Planning Department staff for their efforts to initiate this review and we do believe changes are necessary.
- 5. We would like to give you a little background on our involvement with zoning change efforts.
 - a. We became more involved with reviewing Cascade County zoning issues in 2017 when a bordering property owner made requests for a special use permit.
 - b. We are a part of a large group of our neighbors and friends that have come together to review Cascade County zoning and processes. Our group has adopted the name Montanan's for Responsible Land Use (MFRLU).
 - c. We have retained an experienced land use expert and together we have been researching our county zoning regulations, the growth policy, and best practices. We have been in continual contact with the planning department staff, county attorney, and commissioners. Through our research, we developed a zoning solution and have been attempting to collaborate with the planning department staff for their expert input and guidance, unfortunately to no valid avail. Our group met with staff in November and shared our preliminary drafted application to request these changes. We mistakenly thought they would be able to review our suggestions and offer input, however that was not the case, so we finalized and submitted our application on January 17th and requested a pre-application meeting. On February 7th, we met with the planning staff for the pre-application meeting and again we misunderstood that this would be a meeting where they could tell us if our application had covered all the bases and included everything that they would need to support the application content. We found out at that meeting that they do not review anything until the fee is received, at which point that would start the review process. We did not submit the fee payment yet for a few reasons. First, we wanted to be able to correct and add any additional information that the staff needed and didn't think we

could make any changes once the fee was paid. Second, it is unclear if we need to pay the fee when it is our understanding that a bordering land owner did not have to pay a fee upon their requested changes. Third, the staff made public their own proposal on January 18th, without submitting an application nor paying the fee. These factors are confusing the process logic of why we would then need to pay the fee.

- d. Because the staff made it clear that they would not be able to get to our application for an unforeseen amount of time due to their time commitment required to spend towards their proposal as well as the length and complexity of our application content, we have not submitted the fee payment to proceed with our application and instead decided to publicly commenting on their application.
- e. In order to determine what we think is the best solution for the county, we are comparing their proposal to our proposal and will submit a detailed summary in a Part II. We plan to get that to you within a few weeks.

Thank you for allowing for public input and considering it.



Public Comment Form

Cascade County Public Works Department Planning Division 121 4th St N, Suite 2H-2I Great Falls, MT 59401

Phone: 406-454-6905 Fax: 406-454-6919

Instructions

This form is for providing public comment to the Cascade County Planning Division for review by any one or more of the following review and/or approval boards: Zoning Board of Adjustment (ZBOA), Planning Board, or Board of County Commissioners. Only complete submissions will be included for board review. Please provide the relevant information for each section below. A complete submission provides all of the following: commenter name and address, comment subject, and commentary on the subject issue(s). If additional space is needed for commentary, please attach additional sheets to this form. Completed forms may be submitted in person at the Planning Division office or by email at planningcomments@cascadecountymt.gov.

is needed for commentary, please atta person at the Planning Division office				3.5	submitted in
Commenter Information	COLOR TO THE STATE OF THE STATE			,	
Name: TAMMIE LYNNE SMITH					
5050000000000000	AD ODEATEALLO.	AT 50405			
Complete Address: 397 HIGHWOOD RO	AD, GREAT FALLS, I	WI 59405			
Comment Subject (please check one)					
\square Special Use Permit Application	\square Subdivision	Zoning Te	xt and/or Map	Amendm	ent
☐ Growth Policy	☐ Variance	☐ Floodplair	n Regulation A	mendmen	t
☐ Subdivision Regulation Amendment	nt 🗆 County Ro	ad Abandonment	t/ Discontinuat	tion of Cou	ınty Street
Other (describe): 2019 Draft Zoning F	egulations Versions	.0 through 3.99			
Comment					
TO: PLANNING STAFF, PLANNING BOA	RD. COUNTY ATT	ORNEY			
I have reviewed the Draft Zoning Regulati			the changes pre	pared for th	ne Planning
Board.					3
I discovered that the 2019 Draft Zoning Re	egulations, publishe	d on the Planning [Division website	, are dated	
October 2018 and labeled V4.1. Typically	V4.1 indicates Ver	sion 4.1.			
1. What is the history of the Draft Zoning	Regulations?		9		
2. Are copies of Versions 1.0 through 3.9	9 available?				
3. Are there specific public document files	for Versions 1.0 th	rough 3.99 of the D	raft Zoning Reg	julations?	
In a meeting with Ian Payton and Michael	Stone on February	07, 2019 regarding	a separate pub	olic initiated	Zoning
Amendment Application, and in the Staff F	Report prepared for	the Planning Board	Hearing, the pl	anning divi	sion
indicates that the proposed zoning regulat	ion changes are "st	aff initiated." Secti	on 14 of the cur	rent zoning	regulations
confirm that zoning amendments may be	ntiated by the Plani	ning Division but do	es not exclude	the Plannin	g Division
from following the necessary steps includi	ng the preparation	of the formal Applic	ation for Amend	lment and it	t's extensive
analysis. The Application for Amendmen	t is designed to sup	port and clarify the	need for a spec	ific zoning	amendment.
Requirements include legal descriptions for	or boundaries of pro	posed districts, des	scriptions of exis	sting land u	se of
neighboring areas, anticipated impact on i					
objectives of the Cascade County Growth					
analysis of each proposed zoning change	. I believe the plann	ing division should	prepare more d	etailed ana	lysis.
Section of the Medical Street, which has been	For Office	Use Only	LANGUE V		
Date Received: 3-6-19	ate Reviewed:	3-7-19	Complete:	☑ Yes	□ No



Public Comment Form

Cascade County Public Works Department Planning Division 121 4^{th} St N, Suite 2H-2I Great Falls, MT 59401

Phone: 406-454-6905 Fax: 406-454-6919

Instructions

Date Received:

This form is for providing public comment to the Cascade County Planning Division for review by any one or more of the following review and/or approval boards: Zoning Board of Adjustment (ZBOA), Planning Board, or Board of County Commissioners. Only complete submissions will be included for board review. Please provide the relevant information for each section below. A complete submission provides all of the following: commenter name and address, comment subject, and commentary on the subject issue(s). If additional space is needed for commentary, please attach additional sheets to this form. Completed forms may be submitted in person at the Planning Division office or by email at planningcomments@cascadecountymt.gov.

person at the Planning Division office o	r by email at plannir	ngcomments@cascadecountymt.gov.				
Commenter Information						
Name: TAMMIE LYNNE SMITH						
Complete Address: 397 HIGHWOOD RC	NAD GREAT FALLS MT	50405				
Complete Address. 337 Horiwood No.	AD, GILATTALLO, WIT	00400				
Comment Subject (please check one)						
☐ Special Use Permit Application	\square Subdivision	Zoning Text and/or Map Amendment				
☐ Growth Policy	☐ Variance	☐ Floodplain Regulation Amendment				
☐ Subdivision Regulation Amendment ☐ County Road Abandonment/ Discontinuation of County Street						
Other (describe): 2019 Draft Zoning Ro	egulations & Cascade Co	ounty Growth Plan	_			
Comment						
TO: PLANNING STAFF, PLANNING BOAF	RD, COUNTY ATTOR	NEY				
		and the 2014 Adopted Cascade County Growth Plan.				
I am opposed to the 2019 Draft Zoning Re	gulations because the	Cascade County Growth Plan has not been revised of	r			
updated in accordance with the requiremen	nts of MCA 76-1-601 (3)(f) prior to the substantive proposed changes.				
Cascade County's Growth Policy, adopted	on May 27 2014 and i	in accordance with MCA 76-1-601, "serves as a				
comprehensive plan to provide guidance o	n decisions regarding	land development and public investments." The Grov	wth			
Policy is implemented through regulatory tools including the Cascade County Zoning Regulations.						
MCA 76-1-601 sets forth the criteria for Gre	owth Policies that inclu	ude community goals and objectives, inventories of th	<u>e</u>			
characteristics of the jurisdictional area, projected trends, strategies for development, and more. Of particular interest is						
MCA 76-1-601 (3)(f)(iii) which requires a timetable for reviewing the Growth Policy at least once every 5 years and						
		scade County Growth Policy states the same. It is Ma	rch			
2019, nearly 5 years since the adoption of	the current Growth Po	olicy. When asked if the Growth Policy has been				
reviewed in accordance with the Timetable	and Process on page	e 10-1, County Planners said "no." MCA 76-2-203 set	S			
forth criteria and guidelines for Zoning Reg	ulations. 76-2-203 (1)(a) states "Zoning regulations must be made in				
in accordance with the Growth Policy." Zo	ning Regulations exist	t to implement the Growth Policy. The Staff Report				
Appendix B justifies the elimination of Agric	cultural zones and the	implementation of MU-20 and MU-40 zones citing a f	ew			
objectives of Growth Policy Goals 1, 5, 9, a	and 11. What of Goal	2 protecting rural character and relationship with natu	ıral			
resources? Or Goal 3 maintaining our agricultural economy? I believe the Growth Policy is overdue for review. The						
review of the Growth Policy should occur,	and changes adopted,	, prior to consideration of extensive zoning changes.				
	For Office Us	e Only				

Date Reviewed:

3-8-19

Complete:

★ Yes

☐ No